

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,146	01/03/2002	Peter Braun	00-733	9399	
7:	590 09/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
George A. Coury		LOPEZ, CARLOS N			
	LaPOINTE, P.C.		L nm Laum		
Suite 1201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
900 Chapel Str	eet		1731		
New Haven, CT 06510-2802			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004	l	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				` '{
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.0
Office Action Summary		10/040,146	BRAUN, PETER	,
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Carlos Lopez	1731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Al</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	om consideration.	:	
Applicati	on Papers	:		
10)[The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment	(s)			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/16/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 1731

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1) Claims 1-4, 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) and (e) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Potter (US 6273094). Potter discloses an extinguishing cigarette holder tube (11). The cigarette extinguisher includes a tube having an open end and a closed end (14). The tube includes a reduced diameter portion (12) between the open end and closed end (14). Due to the slidding fit between the cigarette and reduced diameter portion 12 the oxygen within the closed off tubular member (11) is quickly consumed such that the lighted end of the cigarette is extinguished (Column 3, line 60-67). Thus it is inherent or at the least obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, by preventing oxygen from entering the tube 11, the reduced inside diameter (12) sealingly engages the cigarette in order to extinguish it.

As for claim 2, the top of the reduced diameter portion (12) and closed end (14) provide an extinguishing area.

As for claim 3 and 7, the reduced inside diameter portion (12) provides for the closed end and open end of tube (11) to have a larger diameter.

As for claim 4, the reduced diameter portion 12 includes a plurality of axially spaced reduced diameter portions, the portions occurring as one moves down the tapered region.

⁶ As for claim 12, the axis of the tube, which passes through the center of the tube, is transverse to the reduced diameter portions 12, see figure 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,146 Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

2) Claim 4 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musetti (US 4,809,715). Musetti discloses an extinguishing cigarette holder tube (10). The cigarette extinguisher includes a tube having an open end (12) and a closed end (30). The inside diameter of the tube includes a plurality of axially spaced reduced diameter portions (36) between the open end (12) and closed end (30). The plurality of reduced diameter portions engages the cigarette to extinguish it quickly (Column 2, line 11). In the abstract it is noted that one end of the tube is sealed in order to deplete the oxygen level in the tube. Thus it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, that in order to extinguish the cigarette, the insertion of the cigarette would create a sealed enclosure preventing oxygen from entering the tube in order to extinguish the lit end of the cigarette.

As for claim 11, the larger diameter portion is deemed as the portion lacking any reduced portions 36 thus meeting the claimed limitation of having a larger diameter portion than the two axially spaced apart reduced diameter portions 36.

As for claim 12, the axis of the tube, which passes through the center of the tube, is transverse to the reduced diameter portions, see figure 3.

Over Potter (US 6273094) as applied to claims 1-3 above, in view of Hicks (US 6463936). Potter is silent disclosing the open end of the tube having a short length side and a long length side. However, Hick discloses a cigarette extinguisher having an open end (58) comprising a short length and long length. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided

Art Unit: 1731

Potter's extinguisher with an open end (58) comprising a short length and long length as taught by Hicks in order to facilitates the insertion and removal of a cigarette.

As for claim 8, the reduced diameter portion may be integrally formed with the tube as taught by Hicks (Col. 3, lines 17-20).

As for claim 9, the reduced diameter portion may be closer to the closed end as shown by Hick in Fig. 1.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 8/16/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant notes that claims 1-10 are submitted to be patentable based on previously presented arguments. Consequently, as noted in the previous office action, Applicant's argument that Musetti fails to disclose a circumferential band, it is noted that claim 4 fails to claim a circumferential band. In regards to applicant's argument that Musetti fails to provide a sealing structure, applicant is referred to paragraph 2 above as previously noted.

Applicant is advised that a submission as required by CFR 1.114 must meet the reply requirements of 37 CFR § 1.111. 37 CFR 1.111(b) requires that the applicant reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference A has been cited to show the state of the art.

Art Unit: 1731

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is 571.272.1193. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571.272.1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CL

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700